



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/763,988 07/05/2001		Koichi Kamijo	JP919980098	3639	
35195 7	7590 11/28/2005		EXAMINER		
FERENCE & ASSOCIATES			LEE, Y YOUNG		
409 BROAD S PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		2613		
		DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/763,98	18	KAMIJO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Y. Lee		2613				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	on appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
Period fo					•			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evenion. period will apply and with statute, cause the apply	IIS COMMUNICATI ent, however, may a reply be Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr ication to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this one (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 October 200	5					
		This action is no	_					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice un							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•					
4) ズ	Claim(s) 15-42 is/are pending in the appli	ication						
			rawn from consider	ation				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22,24-27,29 and 37 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☐ Glaim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□ -	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by th	e Examiner	•			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the				• •			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) 🖂 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reian priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)				
_	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,	(=) (=) (.).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have beer	n received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority docume	nts have been rece	ived in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International Bo	ureau (PCT Rule	∍ 17.2(a)).					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certif	ied copies not rece	ived.				
Attachment	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	:O 450\			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>/0//ሪ/</u> ผ	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/18/05 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 22, 24-27, 29, and 37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 11/22/04.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 09/763,988

Art Unit: 2613

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 15-18, 23, 28, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakamura et al (6,185,312) for the same reasons as set forth in Section 5 of the previous office action, dated 7/18/05.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (6,185,312) in view of Yamane et al (6,393,196).

Although Nakamura et al discloses the video data is MPEG video data, it differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose a packetized MPEG-2 video data stream as specified in claims 38-42. Yamane et al however, in Figure 7,

Application/Control Number: 09/763,988

Art Unit: 2613

Page 4

illustrates the concept of such well known video format. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Nakamura et al and Yamane et al before him/her, to apply the common packetized format as taught by Yamane et al to the video data in the embedding system of Nakamura et al in order to conform with requirements of the MPEG-2 standard.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts on pages 10-11 of the Remarks that Nakamura et al fails to disclose the extracting means and the embedding means. However, Figure 6 of Nakamura et al illustrates the concept of such embedding 121 and extracting data (115, 116).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 19-21 and 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 2613

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334.

The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2613